

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/572,616	10/18/2006	Raoul Florent	FR 030105	7655	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 10/06/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			BITAR, NANCY		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/572,616	FLORENT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	NANCY BITAR	2624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket, Any reply received by the Office ther may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed on	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con			cause					
(b) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E Delow);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		be entered and an ex	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Gressl et al. discloses applying a "Nyjical reduce operation" to all injurt data with respect to shape information, wherein the different so of fields, is, c. resolution levels, may be treated differently (see column 6, lines 36-40). As such, slices of an image are not treated differently, but rather only the resolution levels of the shape information are treated differently, but rather only the resolution levels of the shape information are treated differently. Examiner disagree since Vladimir et al clearly teaches the apatio-temporal filtering based on multiple thresholding and examiner used an obvious rejection wherein Griesel et al teaches the control module 101 and reduce modules 102 and 103 that are applied at a typical operation on all data with respect to the shape information wherein the different type of fieldmay be treated differently which is interpreted by the examiner as the frequency is treated differently with the typical erduce operation, (please erejection dated 7/9/2008)